IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

JEREMY STORR AND JILL MADDRELL, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiffs,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

v.

ROCKET FARM RESTAURANTS, LLC; and FORD FRY

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Named Plaintiffs Jeremy Storr and Jill Maddrell, on behalf of themselves and others similarly situated, bring this action against Defendants Rocket Farm Restaurants, LLC and Ford Fry, and allege as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. In this collective action brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 *et seq.* ("FLSA"), Named Plaintiffs allege that Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay the minimum wage rate for all hours worked and by failing to pay the required overtime premium rate for all hours worked over 40 per week.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. The Court exercises jurisdiction over Plaintiffs' claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims raise questions of federal law.
- 3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiffs' claims occurred in the Atlanta Division of the Northern District of Georgia.

PARTIES

- 4. Defendant Rocket Farm Restaurants, LLC ("Rocket Farm") is a foreign limited liability company licensed to do business in Georgia with its principle office located at 644 Antone Street, Suite 1, Atlanta, Georgia 30318, according to the Georgia Secretary of State.
- 5. Rocket Farm may be served with process through its registered agent, Daniel P. VanLoh, 644 Antone Street, Suite 1, Atlanta, Georgia 30318.
 - 6. Defendant Ford Fry ("Fry") is an owner of Rocket Farm.
 - 7. Fry is an individual who may be served wherever he may be found.
- 8. Named Plaintiffs worked for Defendants within the three years preceding the filing of this action.
- 9. Named Plaintiffs consented in writing to join this action pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). (*See* Exhibits 1 and 2.)

- 10. Other similarly-situated individuals are interested in joining this action.
- 11. For example, Opt-in Plaintiff Adrian Lewis also consented to join this lawsuit. (*See* Exhibit 3.)

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS SHOWING THAT DEFENDANTS ARE JOINT EMPLOYERS

- 12. Defendants own and operate restaurants in and around Atlanta, Georgia.
- 13. For example, Defendants own and operate "The Optimist," a restaurant located at 914 Howell Mill Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30318.
- 14. The Optimist is a restaurant operated by Defendants for the common business purpose of selling food and drinks to customers.
- 15. Within the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,
 Defendants employed Named Plaintiff Maddrell as a server at The Optimist.
- 16. Within the three years preceding the filing of this Complaint,
 Defendants employed Named Plaintiff Storr as a bartender at the Optimist.
- 17. Defendants employed Plaintiffs who engaged in commerce, the production of goods for commerce, or handled, sold or otherwise worked on goods and materials that were moved in or produced for commerce.
- 18. For example, Plaintiffs used Defendants' computer systems manufactured outside the state of Georgia in performing their job duties.

- 19. Plaintiffs processed customer credit card transactions using Defendants' computer systems.
- 20. Plaintiffs sold food and beverages made from ingredients that traveled in interstate commerce.
 - 21. Rocket Farm is an "enterprise" as that term is defined by the FLSA.
- 22. Rocket Farm engages in interstate commerce or the production of goods for interstate commerce.
- 23. Rocket Farm employs two or more individuals who work on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for interstate commerce.
- 24. Rocket Farm's employees use computers that were manufactured outside the state of Georgia.
- 25. Rocket Farm's employees process customer credit card transactions when performing their job duties.
- 26. Rocket Farm's employees use the internet to communicate across state lines while performing their job duties.
- 27. Rocket Farm orders and receives at its restaurants shipments of food and beverage products that travel across state lines and are then used by its employees in performing their job duties.
 - 28. Rocket Farm's gross annual sales or business done exceeds \$500,000.

- 29. Rocket Farm employed Plaintiffs.
- 30. For example, Rocket Farm had the authority to determine the compensation practices applicable to Plaintiffs.
- 31. Rocket Farm had the authority to make changes to the compensation practices applicable to Plaintiffs.
- 32. Rocket Farms had the authority to determine the employment policies applicable to Plaintiffs.
- 33. Rocket Farms had the authority to make changes to the employment polices applicable to Plaintiffs.
 - 34. Rocket Farm had the authority to hire and fire Plaintiffs.
 - 35. Fry also employed Plaintiffs.
- 36. Fry is an "employer" as that term is defined under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 37. Fry exercises operational control over Defendants' restaurants, including The Optimist.
- 38. For example, Fry determines the compensation practices applicable to Defendants' employees, including Plaintiffs.
- 39. Fry has the authority to make changes to the compensation practices applicable to Defendants' employees, including Plaintiffs.

- 40. Fry has the authority to hire and fire Defendants' employees, including Plaintiffs.
- 41. Fry determined the employment policies applicable to Defendants' employees, including Plaintiffs.
- 42. Defendants Fry and Rocket Farm jointly employed Plaintiffs within the meaning of the FLSA.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING PLAINTIFFS' COMPENSATION

- 43. Defendants have operated restaurants in metropolitan Atlanta for nearly 10 years.
- 44. Defendants employed Named Plaintiff Maddrell as a server at The Optimist.
- 45. Defendants employed Named Plaintiff Storr as a bartender at The Optimist.
 - 46. Defendants employed Opt-in Plaintiff Lewis as a server at the Optimist.
- 47. Customers of The Optimist regularly leave discretionary gratuities ("tips") for the benefit of certain employees, such as servers and bartenders.
- 48. The tips left by customers at The Optimist are the property of the employees who customarily and regularly receive the tips (or tipped employees), such as servers and bartenders.

- 49. Defendants take a credit against their minimum wage obligation with respect to servers and bartenders, who receive less than \$7.25 per hour in direct wages.
- 50. For example, Defendants paid Maddrell \$2.13 per hour for certain hours worked as a server.
- 51. Defendants paid Lewis \$2.13 per hour for certain hours worked as a server.
- 52. Defendants paid Storr \$5.15 per hour for certain hours worked as a bartender.
- 53. However, Defendants do not allow servers and bartenders, such as Plaintiffs, to retain all of their tips.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' INVALID TIP POOL

- 54. Defendants require servers and bartenders to share their tips using a tip pool.
- 55. Defendants collect the tips received by servers and bartenders and redistribute a portion of the tips to other employees.
- 56. Defendants collect the tips received by servers and bartenders and redistribute a portion of the tips among both tipped and non-tipped employees.
 - 57. For example, Defendants employ individuals whose primary job duty

consists of polishing Defendants silverware ("Polishers").

- 58. Polishers perform their job duties in the "back of the house" away from the Optimist's customers.
 - 59. Polishers do not interact with customers.
 - 60. Polishers do not customarily and regularly receive tips from customers.
- 61. Defendants require tipped employees, such as servers and bartenders, to share their tips with Polishers.
 - 62. Polishers receive a share of the tips collected in the tip pool.
- 63. Because Defendants require servers and bartenders to share their tips with Polishers, Defendants require tipped employees to share their tips with non-tipped employees.
- 64. Defendants purport to distribute tips among employees who are included in the tip pool based on the total sales made by servers and bartenders, not the actual amount of the tips they received from customers.
- 65. Defendants do not disclose to their tipped employees the formula used to distribute the tips included in the tip pool.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING UNLAWFUL DEDUCTIONS

- 66. Defendants require servers to pay for the uniforms they wear while working at The Optimist.
 - 67. Defendants pay servers direct wages of \$2.13 per hour.
- 68. Defendants deduct the cost of the uniforms directly from server's earnings.
- 69. Defendants' practice of deducting the cost of uniforms from servers' earnings results in direct wage rates of less than \$2.13 per hour.
- 70. Defendants' practice of deducting the cost of uniforms from their servers' earnings results in wage rates of less than \$7.25 per hour after the maximum tip credit is applied.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME PREMIUMS

- 71. During certain workweeks, Defendants' servers work more than 40 hours.
- 72. For example, Maddrell worked more than 40 hours during the workweek ending on June 4, 2017.
- 73. A copy of Maddrell's earning statement for the workweek ending on June 4, 2017 is attached as Exhibit 4.
 - 74. However, Defendants fail to pay servers at the required overtime

premium rate for all hours worked over 40 per week.

- 75. Defendants unlawfully take a tip credit in excess of \$5.12 per hour for hours worked in excess of 40 per week.
- 76. Defendants fail to pay servers at least \$5.76 per hour in direct wages for all hours worked over 40 per week.
- 77. Instead, Defendants pay servers \$3.20 per hour in direct wages for hours worked over 40 per week.
- 78. For example, Maddrell's earning statement for the workweek ending June 4, 2017 shows a direct wage rate for overtime hours of \$3.20 per hour. (*See* Exhibit 4.)
- 79. By paying servers \$3.20 per hour for hours worked over 40 per week, Defendants fail to pay servers the overtime premium rate required by the FLSA for employers taking the tip credit.

COUNT 1: WILLFUL FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE

- 80. Defendants pay servers and bartenders less than \$7.25 per hour in direct wages for all hours worked.
- 81. Defendants claim a tip credit against their federal minimum wage obligation with respect to servers and bartenders.
 - 82. However, Defendants cannot validly claim a tip credit against the

federal minimum wage because servers and bartenders are not permitted to retain all of their tips.

- 83. Defendants cannot validly claim a tip credit against the federal minimum wage because Defendants deduct the cost of uniforms from their servers' paychecks, resulting in direct wages less than \$2.13 per hour.
- 84. Defendants cannot validly claim a tip credit against the federal minimum wage because Defendants unlawfully take a credit in excess of \$5.12 per hour for all hours servers work in excess of 40 per week.
- 85. Defendants fail to compensate servers and bartenders, such as Plaintiffs and those similarly situated, at the required federal minimum wage in violation of their rights under the FLSA.
- 86. Defendants' violation of the FLSA's minimum wage provision was willful.
- 87. Defendants violated the FLSA with reckless disregard for their obligations under the FLSA.
- 88. Defendants failed to inquire as to whether their conduct violated the FLSA.
- 89. Because Defendants violated the FLSA, Named Plaintiffs and others similarly situated are entitled to their unpaid minimum wages for all hours worked,

liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT 2: WILLFUL FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME PREMIUMS

- 90. During certain workweeks, Defendants' servers work more than 40 hours.
- 91. Defendants know, or should know, that servers work hours in excess of 40 per week.
- 92. However, Defendants fail to pay their servers the legally required amount in direct wages for all overtime hours worked each week.
- 93. Defendants take a credit against servers' direct wages that exceeds the tip credit permitted by the FLSA.
- 94. Defendants' failure to pay servers at least \$5.76 per hour in direct wages for all overtime hours worked per week violates the FLSA.
- 95. Defendants' violation of the FLSA's overtime provision, 29 U.S.C. § 207, was willful.
- 96. Defendants violated the FLSA's overtime provisions with reckless disregard for servers' rights.
- 97. Defendants failed to inquire as to whether their conduct violated the FLSA.
 - 98. Because Defendants violated the FLSA, servers, such as Named

Plaintiff Maddrell, and others similarly situated, including Opt-in Plaintiff Lewis, are entitled to their unpaid wages for all overtime hours worked, liquidated damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT

Plaintiffs respectfully requests that the Court:

- (a) Declare that Defendants' actions, policies, and practices complained of herein violate the rights of Plaintiffs as secured by federal law;
- (b) Enter judgment against Defendants that their violations of the FLSA were willful;
- (c) Award all unpaid wages;
- (d) Award liquidated damages in an amount equal to Plaintiffs' unpaid wages;
- (e) Award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses; and
- (f) Award all other relief to which Plaintiffs may be entitled.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted: November 2, 2017

POOLE HUFFMAN LLC 315 W. Ponce de Leon Ave, Suite 344 Decatur, Georgia 30030 Phone: 404-373-4008 dustin@poolehuffman.com john@poolehuffman.com

william@poolehuffman.com

s/Dustin L. Crawford
Dustin L. Crawford
Ga. Bar No. 758916
John L. Mays
Ga. Bar No. 986574
William S. Cleveland
Ga. Bar No. 721593
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CONSENT TO BE PARTY PLAINTIFF

I worked as an hourly employee at a restaurant owned and operated by Rocket Farm Restaurants LLC and Ford Fry in Georgia within the last three year.

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against my employers, Rocket Farm Restaurants, LLC, and Ford Fry ("Defendants"), and to assert any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act (for unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime premiums, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs, and any other relief) against the Defendants in that lawsuit.

I authorize Plaintiffs' counsel, POOLE HUFFMAN, LLC, and their successors and assigns, to represent me in this action. By signing and returning this consent form, I understand that, if accepted for representation, I will be represented by POOLE HUFFMAN LLC without prepayment of costs or attorney's fees. If Plaintiffs are successful in this lawsuit, I agree that Plaintiffs' counsel shall receive the greater of either 40% of my gross settlement or judgment amount or the actual fees of Plaintiffs' counsel incurred at their hourly rates. I also understand that Plaintiffs' counsel will deduct costs advanced on my behalf from my portion of any settlement or judgment and may petition the Court for an award of fees and costs to be paid by Defendants on my behalf.

| Date. | _ 10-19 -17 | |
|------------|--|---|
| Your Name: | JIII Maddrell | |
| Signature: | Jeld Maddrell | |
| | | |
| | (Information below to be redacted before filing) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | _ |

CONSENT TO BE PARTY PLAINTIFF

I worked as an hourly employee at a restaurant owned and operated by Rocket Farm Restaurants LLC and Ford Fry in Georgia within the last three year.

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against my employers, Rocket Farm Restaurants, LLC, and Ford Fry ("Defendants"), and to assert any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act (for unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime premiums, liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs, and any other relief) against the Defendants in that lawsuit.

I authorize Plaintiffs' counsel, POOLE HUFFMAN, LLC, and their successors and assigns, to represent me in this action. By signing and returning this consent form, I understand that, if accepted for representation, I will be represented by POOLE HUFFMAN LLC without prepayment of costs or attorney's fees. If Plaintiffs are successful in this lawsuit, I agree that Plaintiffs' counsel shall receive the greater of either 40% of my gross settlement or judgment amount or the actual fees of Plaintiffs' counsel incurred at their hourly rates. I also understand that Plaintiffs' counsel will deduct costs advanced on my behalf from my portion of any settlement or judgment and may petition the Court for an award of fees and costs to be paid by Defendants on my behalf.

| Date: | Oct 13, 2017 | |
|------------|--|--|
| Your Name: | Jeremy Storr | |
| Signature: | town (get 15, 5012) | |
| | (Information below to be redacted before filing) | |
| | | |
| | | |

CONSENT TO BE PARTY PLAINTIFF

I worked as an hourly employee at a restaurant owned and operated by Rocket Farm Restaurants LLC and Ford Fry in Georgia within the last three year.

I consent to be a party plaintiff in a lawsuit against my employers, Rocket Farm Restaurants, LLC, and Ford Fry ("Defendants"), and to assert any claims I may have under the Fair Labor Standards Act (for unpaid minimum wages, unpaid overtime premiums. liquidated damages, attorney's fees, costs, and any other relief) against the Defendants in that lawsuit.

I authorize Plaintiffs' counsel, POOLE HUFFMAN, LLC, and their successors and assigns, to represent me in this action. By signing and returning this consent form, I understand that, if accepted for representation, I will be represented by POOLE HUFFMAN LLC without prepayment of costs or attorney's fees. If Plaintiffs are successful in this lawsuit, I agree that Plaintiffs' counsel shall receive the greater of either 40% of my gross settlement or judgment amount or the actual fees of Plaintiffs' counsel incurred at their hourly rates. I also understand that Plaintiffs' counsel will deduct costs advanced on my behalf from my portion of any settlement or judgment and may petition the Court for an award of fees and costs to be paid by Defendants on my behalf.

Date:

| | Oct 18, 2017 | |
|------------------|--|--|
| Your Name: | Adrian Lewis | |
| Signature: | ALL 10-1 19, 2017) | |
| Adrian Lewi | | |
| | (Information below to be redacted before filing) | |
| Mailing Address: | | |
| Email Address: | | |
| Phone Number: | | |
| | | |

Rocket Farm Case 1:17-cv-04375-WMR Document 1-4 Filed 11/02/17 Page 1 of 1

644 Antone St Ste 1 Atlanta, GA 30318

SS

Taxes

Direct Deposit Advice



Check Date June 9, 2017 **Voucher Number** 79407

| Direct Deposits Type | Account | Amount |
|-----------------------|---------|--------|
| BANK OF AM C | | 676.59 |
| Total Direct Deposits | | 676,59 |



Non Negotiable - This is not a check - Non Negotiable

| Rocket Farm Restaurants LLC | | | | | | | | |
|--|------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Jill Maddre | ell | | | | | | Earni | ngs Statement |
| Employee ID Location Hourly Average Hours | | R68091 SERVER \$7.25 17.81 | Fed Taxable Income Fed Filing Status State Filing Status | 904.11 S-1 S-1 | Check Date Period Beginning Period Ending | June 9, 2017 May 29, 2017 June 4, 2017 | Voucher Number Net Pay | 79407 676.59 |
| Earnings | Rate | Hours | Amount | YTD | Deductions | | Amount | YTD |
| CHARGE TI | | 0.00 | 815.30 | 10,600.84 | UNIFORM | | | 26.00 |
| OVERTIME | 3.20 | 1.13 | 3.61 | 3.61 | 1 | | | 26.00 |
| REGULAR | 2.13 | 40.00 | 85.20 | 1,049.47 | 7 | _ | | |
| SERVICE C | | | | 204.41 | | | Account | Amount |
| Gross Earning | s | 41.13 | 904.11 | 11,858.33 | BANK OF AM | | | 676.59 |
| | | | | | Total Direct I | Deposits | | 676.59 |
| Taxes | | | Amount | YTD | <u>)</u> | | | |
| FITW | | | 113.55 | 1,271.02 | 2 | | | |
| GA | | | 44.82 | 523.01 | l | | | |
| MED | | | 13.11 | 171.95 | 5 | | | |

735.21 **2,701.19**

56.04

227.52

| 477± | usev. | 0/10 | nung | |
|----------|----------|------|------|--|
| W 84 1-2 | <u> </u> | | , | |

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

| (b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED Defendant | I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S) JEREMY STORR AND JILL MADDRELL, on bet themselves and others similarly situated | nalf of | DEFENDANT(S) ROCKET FARM RESTAURANTS, LLC; and FORD FRY |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| 315 W. Ponce de Leon Ave, Suite 344 Decatur, Georgia 30030 Phone: (404) 373-4008 dustin@poolehuffman.com; john@poolehuffman.com III. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) | PLAINTIFF DeKalb (ENCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NU E-MAIL ADDRESS) | UMBER, AND | DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED |
| PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) | 315 W. Ponce de Leon Ave, Suite 344 Decatur, Georgia 30030 Phone: (404) 373-4008 | ffman.com | |
| U. S. GOVERNMENT PLANTIFF U.S. GOVERNMENT U | II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) | | IN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) |
| ORIGINAL PROCEEDING STATE COURT APPELLATE COURT APPELLAT | PLAINTIFF (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT DEFENDANT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES | | TIZEN OF THIS STATE 4 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE 1 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE 1 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE 1 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE 1 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE 1 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE 1 INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE PLACE PLAC |
| V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) In this collective action brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), Named Plaintiffs allege that Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay the minimum wage rate for all hours worked and by failing to pay the required overtime premium rate for all hours worked over 40 per week. (IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) 1. Unusually large number of parties. 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. 9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. 10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. | ✓ 1 ORIGINAL 2 REMOVED FROM 3 REMANDED FROM | | OR |
| In this collective action brought pursuant to the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"), Named Plaintiffs allege that Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay the minimum wage rate for all hours worked and by failing to pay the required overtime premium rate for all hours worked over 40 per week. (IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) 1. Unusually large number of parties. 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. 5. Extended discovery period is needed. CONTINUED ON REVERSE | ■8 LITIGATION - | | |
| Plaintiffs allege that Defendants willfully violated the FLSA by failing to pay the minimum wage rate for all hours worked and by failing to pay the required overtime premium rate for all hours worked over 40 per week. (IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) 1. Unusually large number of parties. | V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES U. | E UNDER WHICH YO'NLESS DIVERSITY) | U ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE |
| □ 1. Unusually large number of parties. □ 6. Problems locating or preserving evidence □ 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. □ 7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government. □ 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex □ 8. Multiple use of experts. □ 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. □ 9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. □ 5. Extended discovery period is needed. □ 0. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. CONTINUED ON REVERSE | Plaintiffs allege that Defendants willfully violat | ted the FLSA I | by failing to pay the minimum wage rate for all hours worked |
| □ 2. Unusually large number of claims or defenses. □ 7. Pending parallel investigations or actions by government. □ 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex □ 8. Multiple use of experts. □ 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. □ 9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. □ 5. Extended discovery period is needed. □ 0. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. CONTINUED ON REVERSE | (IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW) | | |
| □ 3. Factual issues are exceptionally complex □ 8. Multiple use of experts. □ 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. □ 9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. □ 5. Extended discovery period is needed. □ 0. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. CONTINUED ON REVERSE | | 6. Prob | plems locating or preserving evidence |
| 4. Greater than normal volume of evidence. 5. Extended discovery period is needed. 9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries. 0. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. CONTINUED ON REVERSE | | = | • |
| 5. Extended discovery period is needed. 0. Existence of highly technical issues and proof. CONTINUED ON REVERSE | <u> </u> | | • |
| | | _ | • |
| | | | |
| | | CONTINUED | ON REVERSE |

VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 440 OTHER CIVIL RIGHTS 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 441 VOTING 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT 442 EMPLOYMENT 862 BLACK LUNG (923) 863 DIWC (405(g)) 443 HOUSING/ ACCOMMODATIONS LOANS (Excl Veterans) ☐ 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF 863 DIWW (405(g)) 444 WELFARE 445 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Employment VETERAN'S BENEFITS 864 SSID TITLE XVI 446 AMERICANS with DISABILITIES - Other 865 RSI (405(g)) CONTRACT - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 448 EDUCATION 110 INSURANCE 120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT FEDERAL TAX SUITS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY IMMIGRATION - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 870 TAXES (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 465 OTHER IMMIGRATION ACTIONS 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 871 IRS - THIRD PARTY 26 USC 7609 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS PRISONER PETITIONS - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY OTHER STATUTES - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY 190 OTHER CONTRACT 195 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY TRACK TRACK 463 HABEAS CORPUS- Alien Detainee 375 FALSE CLAIMS ACT 196 FRANCHISE 510 MOTIONS TO VACATE SENTENCE 376 Qui Tam 31 USC 3729(a) 530 HABEAS CORPUS 400 STATE REAPPORTIONMENT REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY 535 HABEAS CORPUS DEATH PENALTY 430 BANKS AND BANKING 540 MANDAMUS & OTHER 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed Pro se 450 COMMERCE/ICC RATES/ETC 460 DEPORTATION 210 LAND CONDEMNATION 220 FORECLOSURE 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed Pro se 470 RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 560 CIVIL DETAINEE CONDITIONS OF ORGANIZATIONS 240 TORTS TO LAND 480 CONSUMER CREDIT 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 490 CABLE/SATELLITE TV 890 OTHER STATUTORY ACTIONS 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY PRISONER PETITIONS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE PRODUCT LIABII ITV 891 AGRICULTURAL ACTS 893 ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS 550 CIVIL RIGHTS - Filed by Counsel 555 PRISON CONDITION(S) - Filed by Counsel 895 FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 899 ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURES ACT FORFEITURE/PENALTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY REVIEW OR APPEAL OF AGENCY DECISION 320 ASSAULT, LIBEL & SLANDER 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY 950 CONSTITUTIONALITY OF STATE STATUTES 625 DRUG RELATED SEIZURE OF PROPERTY П 340 MARINE OTHER STATUTES - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 690 OTHER 350 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE PRODUCT LIABILITY 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY LABOR - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 850 SECURITIES / COMMODITIES / EXCHANGE 710 FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT 362 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL 720 LABOR/MGMT. RELATIONS OTHER STATUTES - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY 740 RAILWAY LABOR ACT TRACK 896 ARBITRATION MALPRACTICE 365 PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 751 FAMILY and MEDICAL LEAVE ACT 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CARE/ PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 790 OTHER LABOR LITIGATION (Confirm / Vacate / Order / Modify) 791 EMPL RET INC SECURITY ACT 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY PRODUCT LIABILITY PROPERTY RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY * PLEASE NOTE DISCOVERY TORTS - PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD 820 COPYRIGHTS TRACK FOR EACH CASE TYPE. 840 TRADEMARK **SEE LOCAL RULE 26.3** 371 TRUTH IN LENDING PROPERTY RIGHTS - "8" MONTHS DISCOVERY 380 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 830 PATENT BANKRUPTCY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157 VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND S_ JURY DEMAND YES NO (CHECK YES ONLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT) VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY DOCKET NO. CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) ☐ 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. ☐ 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. □ 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. ☐ 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. ☐ 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS. 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)): ☐ 7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. This case IS IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

11/1//